

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DERRICK SKYLER STEELE,
Plaintiff,
v.
SHASTA COUNTY, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-0062 AC P

ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS

On December 6, 2022, plaintiff was ordered to file an amended complaint, and he was given thirty days to do so. ECF No. 7. The thirty-day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court shall randomly assign a District Judge to this action.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without prejudice.
See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

////

1 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
2 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen after
3 being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with
4 the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and
5 Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time
6 waives the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.
7 1991).

8 || DATED: March 7, 2023

Alessor Clarie

ALLISON CLAIRE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE